



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/218,783	12/22/1998	PEGGY M. STUMER	98-P-7977-US	2633	
7:	590 03/17/2003	÷			
SIEMENS CORPORATION INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 186 WOOD AVENUE SOUTH			EXAMINER		
			KNOWLIN, THJUAN P		
ISELIN, NJ 0	ISELIN, NJ 08830		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2642		
			DATE MAILED: 03/17/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action		09/218,783	STUMER ET AL.	
Advisory Action		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Thjuan P Knowlin	2642	
The MAILING DATE of this comm	 านnication appeล	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	iress
THE REPLY FILED 06 March 2003 FAILS Therefore, further action by the applicant is final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may on condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed N Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37	s required to avo <u>aly</u> be either: (1) lotice of Appeal	oid abandonment of this applic a timely filed amendment which	cation. A proper replication and call a	ly to a ation in
<u>u</u> PE	RIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) ☐ The period for reply expires months from the period for reply expires on: (1) the mann of event, however, will the statutory period ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIT 706.07(f).	ailing date of this Ad od for reply expire la IRST REPLY WAS	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set fort ter than SIX MONTHS from the maili FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF 1	ng date of the final rejecti HE FINAL REJECTION.	ion. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 C fee have been filed is the date for purposes of deterr fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply re timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adju	mining the period of expiration date of the eceived by the Office	extension and the corresponding am ne shortened statutory period for reply e later than three months after the ma	ount of the fee. The apply originally set in the final	ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension t				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will no	t be entered be	cause:		
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that woul	d require furthe	r consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new mat	ter (see Note be	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the issues for appeal; and/or	ne application in	better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or sir	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims	without cancelin	g a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	ıS.
NOTE: See Attachment (Respon	ise to Arguments	<u>)</u> .	•	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the fe	ollowing rejection	on(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s canceling the non-allowable claim(s		pe allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) application in condition for allowanc			sidered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be craised by the Examiner in the final re		use it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the propose explanation of how the new or amer				and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be	oe) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: None.				
Claim(s) objected to: None.				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-26</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from considerat	ion: <u>None</u> .			
8. The proposed drawing correction file	ed on is a	ı)☐ approved or b)☐ disapı	proved by the Exami	ner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclo	sure Statement	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·	
10. Other:				
			Examiner: Thjuan F Phone: (703) 308-1	
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)	Advis	ory Action	Part of Paper N	No. 10

Application/Control Number: 09/218,783

Art Unit: 2642

ar my

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed Mar. 06, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants state that Ayala state that "stations are not divided into groups dependent on physical proximity" (col. 2 lines 22-23), but instead provides a single central office switch which queues calls and then sends paging or public address messages to intended recipients. Thus, teaching away from the present invention, which provides an enhanced network-wide group pickup system. Examiner respectfully disagrees with this argument. As pointed out by Applicants, Ayala teaches that, "stations are not divided into groups dependent on physical proximity" (col. 2 lines 22-33). Therefore, meaning that an individual has the capability to pick up a call from any station, regardless of its location. The individual may be able to access a call even from a geographically distant station, such as a station located in a different building or town (col. 2 lines 25-30). Therefore, providing an enhanced network-wide group pickup system. Applicant further argues features such as "group" server, "group" parking location, and "local and/or remote" group member stations. These features appear in the claims after the final rejection, and will not be addressed by the Examiner. They would require further consideration and/or search by the Examiner.

Examiner: Thijuan knowlin

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Muna Meste

Page 2